ase type a plus sign (+) inside this box 🖚 Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PTO/SB/21 (08-00) Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

TRANSMITTAL		Application	09/786,235			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Filing Date First Named	Diane M. Gajewczyk			
		Group Art Unit				
		Examiner Name				
		Attorney Docket Number	1038-1129 MIS			

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmitt	al Form	Assignment Papers (for an Application)		After Allowance Communication to Group			
Fee A	ttached	Drawing(s)		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment	/ Response	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After	Final	Petition		Proprietary Information			
Affida	avits/declaration(s)	Petition to Convert a Provisional Application		Status Letter			
Extension of	Time Request	Power of Attorney, Revoc Change of Correspondence		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
	•	Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks		Petition to Revive an Unintentionally Abandoned Application Postcard RECEIVED			
Response to	Missing Parts/			APR 0 7 2003			
Incomplete A	,,						
	oonse to Missing Parts er 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			OFFICE OF PETITIONS			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm or Individual name	Michael I. Stewart (Reg. No. 24,973)						
Signature	link	n L					
Date	April 2, 2003						
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING							

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: Typed or printed name Signature Date

APR 0 3 2003 PTO/SB/17 (1-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0032

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paper fork Reduction Agree 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2003 Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.			Complete if Known				
			Application Number	09/786,235	RECEIVED		
			Filing Date		APR 0 7 2003		
			First Named Inventor	Diane M. Gajewczyk			
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27			Examiner Name	OFFICE OF PETITION			
			Group Art Unit		OLLIGE OLL CITY		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$)	\$1,300.00	Attorney Docket No.	1038-1129 MIS			
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)			FEE CALCULATION (continued)				
Money Da							

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
Check Credit card Money Other None	3. AE	DITIC	NAL F	EES		•		
Deposit Account:	Large E Fee		Small Fee	Entity Fee		.		
Deposit Account	Code	(\$)	Code	(\$)		Descriptio		Fee Paid
Number	1051	130	2051		Surcharge - late to Surcharge - late	-		
Deposit Account	1052	50	2052	25.	sheet	provisionari	illing lee of cover	
Name	1053	130	1053	130	Non - English spe	ecification		
The Commissioner is authorized to: (check all that apply)	1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a reque	•		
Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments	1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publi action	cation of SI	R prior to Examiner	
Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application	1805	1,840*	1805	,840*	Requesting publi	cation of SI	R after Examiner	
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.	1251	110	2251	55	Extension for rep	ly within firs	st month	
	1252	410	2252	205	Extension for rep	ly within sec	cond month	
FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE	1253	930	2253	465	Extension for rep	ly within this	rd month	
Large Entity Small Entity	1254	1,450	2254	725	Extension for rep	ly within fou	ırth month	
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	1255	1,970	2255	985	Extension for rep	ly within fiftl	h month	
Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid 1001 750 2001 375 Utility filing fee	1401	320	2401	160	Notice of Appeal			
1002 330 2002 165 Design filing.	1402	320	2402	160	Filing a brief in se	upport of an	appeal	
1003 520 2003 260 Plant filing fee	1403	280	2403	140	Request for oral	hearing		
1004 750 2004 375 Reissue filing	1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institu	te a public ι	use proceeding	
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee	1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive	- unavoidal	ble	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	1453	1,300	2453	650	Petition to revive	- unintentio	onal	1,300.00
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND	1501	1,300	2501	650	Utility issue fee (or reissue)		
Fee from	1502	470	2502	235	Design issue fee			
Extra Claims below Fee Paid Total Claims -20** = 0 X = 0.00 Independent -3** = 0 X = 0.00		630	2503	315	Plant issue fee			
		130	1460	130	Petitions to the C	Commission	er	
Claims Multiple Dependent	1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee u	inder 37 CF	R § 1.17(q)	
Large Entity Small Entity	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of In Statement	formation D	isclosure	
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	8021	40	8021	40			nment per property	
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20	1809	750	2809	375	Filing a submissi	ion after fina		
1201 84 2201 42 Independent claims in excess of 3	1810	750	2810	375	(37 CFR § 1.12 For each addition		n to be examined	
1203 280 2203 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	1010	, 50	2010		(37 CFR § 1.12	9(b))		
1204 84 2204 42 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent	1801	750	2801		Request for Con			
1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20	1802	900	1802	900	Request for expe of a design appli		imation	
and over original patent		Other fee (specify)						
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) \$0.00								<u> </u>
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see above	*Red	duced t	y Basic	Filing	Fee Paid	SUBTOT	TAL (3) (\$)	\$1,300.00
of Humber previously part, in greater, i.e. reladices, see above								
SUBMITTED BY	T	Registra	ation No).				1155
Name (Print/Type) Michael I. Stewart		(Attorne)			24973	Telephone	(416) 595-	1122

Signature April 2, 2003

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

APR 0 3 2003

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Diane M. Gajewczyk et al.

Appl'n. No.

09/786,235

I.A. Filing Date:

September 3, 1999

Title

TREATMENT OF CERVICAL CANCER

Grp./A.U.

Examiner

Docket No.

1038-1129 MIS:jb

Date

April 2, 2003

PECENED

1 : APR 2007

International Division

BY COURIER

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box PCT, Washington, D.C. 20231, U.S.A.

Attention: The PCT Legal Office

RECEIVED
APR 0.7 2003
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Sir:

PETITION TO REVIVE AN UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED APPLICATION Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Petition is hereby made under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive this application. The application became abandoned for failure to file a timely complete response to a Notice of Defective Response in timely manner. (see enclosed copy of a Decision dated February 4, 2003 in a Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a)).

In support of this Petition, the Petition fee is enclosed. In addition, it is hereby stated, under the signature of the undersigned, that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of this Petition was unintentional.

It is noted that the Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), submitted May 7, 2003, constituted the required reply to the Notice of Defective Response dated February 20, 2003.

04/04/2003 CNGUYEN 00000103 09786235

01 FC:1453

1300.00 OP

Under the circumstances, it is submitted that the application should be revived to permit the Revived Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) to be considered.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael I. Stewart Reg. No. 24,973

Toronto, Ontario, Canada, (416) 595-1155 FAX No. (416) 595-1163 United States Patent and TrademaripOppio

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIC:
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023

Michael I. Stewart
SIM & McBURNEY
6th Floor, 330 University Avenue
Toronto, Ontario
Canada M5G 1R7



In re Application of GAJEWCZYK, Diana M. et al U.S. Application No.: 09/786,235 PCT No.: PCT/CA00/00807

Int. Filing Date: 03 September 1999 Priority Date: 04 September 1998 Attorney Docket No.: 1038-1129 MIS:jb

For: TREATMENT OF CERVICAL CANCER

DECISION

RECEIVED

APR 0 7 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a decision on the papers submitted by applicants' in the above-captioned

BACKGROUND

On 02 March 2001, applicants filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States under 35 U.S.C. 371 which was accompanied by, *inter alia*,

On 02 April 2001, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a Notification of Missing Requirements under 35 U.S.C. 371 and Notification of Defective Oath of Declaration (Form PCT/DO/EO/917) indicating that the declaration was not executed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.66 or 37 CFR 1.68. Applicants were given two months to respond with extensions of time available pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). It was also noted that failure to submit an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b) within the time period set would result in abandonment of

On 29 June 2001, applicants submitted various documents including a declaration signed by seven of the eight co-inventors.

On 20 February 2002, the DO/EO/US mailed a Notification of Defective Response (Form PCT/DO/EO/916) indicating that the declaration submitted was not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b) because it was not properly executed. Applicants were given a time limit of one month. No extension of this time limit pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) was allowed.

On 20 March 2002, applicants submitted a document titled "Response to Notification of Defective Response" along with copies of documents previously

submitted on 29 June 2001.

On 07 May 2002, applicants submitted the instant petition which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, a "Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a)" signed by Michael I. Stewart, a \$130.00 petition fee and extension of time request with a \$400.00 fee.

On 29 July 2002, applicants filed a substitute "Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a)" signed by Michael I. Stewart due to an inadvertent error.

DISCUSSION

Applicants were given only one month to provide a proper response to the Notification of Defective Response (Form PCT/DO/EO/916) mailed 20 February 2002. (There was no time remaining in the Notification of Missing Requirements mailed 02 April 2001.)

A proper response would have been a declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b), or an appropriate petition. Instead applicants submitted a letter stating that they were "preparing a Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a)" and copies of documents previously submitted on 29 June 2001.

This is not considered to a bona fide response to the Form PCT/DO/EO/916.

On 07 May 2002, applicants submitted a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) along with an extension of time request which would have been an appropriate reply to the Form PCT/DO/EO/916. Nevertheless, the time limit of the Form PCT/DO/EO/916 had expired and no extension of time was available.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, the above-captioned application is hereby **ABANDONED** for failure to properly respond to the Form PCT/DO/EO/916 within the time period set for response.

Since the above-captioned application is abandoned, applicants' petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) will not be considered at this time. It is noted that applicants would have failed to meet all the requirements outlined in section 409.03(d) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (8th Ed.) if the petition had been considered.

If reconsideration on the merits of this decision is desired, a proper response must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicants may wish to revive the above-captioned application by providing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a), or 37 CFR 1.137(b) along with the appropriate fee.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box PCT, Washington, D.C. 20231, and address the contents of the letter to the attention of the PCT Legal Office.

dames Thomson Attorney Advisor PCT Legal Office

Tel.: (703) 308-6457